

Politicians and the killing of Canadians with environmental sensitivities...




AGES.CA

Help end the abuse.


Learn More

All comments ▾

 **David Reeve** This makes no sense...some people clearly have sensitivities, but no to the degree their stats estimate. All those estimates are poorly calculated, made up from unqualified sources and bald assumptions that have no basis in fact. This is sloppy journalism. 🤔 1

Haha · Reply · Message · 1d


⤴ Hide 22 Replies

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** When Alan Redway was Minister of State for Housing, the figure 3-30% was used (depending on level of resulting disability). The US National Council on Disability uses about 12%.

The issue is not whether people have sensitivities. Lots of work has been done to make workplaces accessible. The issue is protecting them in health care. Specific recommendations were made to do so under health ministers Beatty and Bouchard. These measures were abandoned under Marleau. The people who were supposed to be protected are being injured, or killed, instead.

If you'd like other verifications of stats, there's lots. The US National Academy of Sciences uses 15%.

Like · Reply · 1d · Edited

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** Perhaps you'd be interested in these other misconceptions and stereotypes


<https://ages.ca/stereotypes/>



AGES.CA

Stereotypes and...


Like · Reply · Remove Preview · 1d


 **David Reeve** **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** Your statistics are flawed, and any 1st year uni student can tell you that. Perhaps you'd be interested in this list of statistical fallacies, several of which apply to your "research". https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Misuse_of_statistics...

EN.WIKIPEDIA.ORG

Misuse of statistics - Wikipedia

Haha · Reply · Message · Remove Preview · 1d

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** Well, David Reeve (<https://www.facebook.com/reeve1980?fref=ufi&rc=p>) you will have to take that up with the US National Academy of Sciences, PEDIATRICS Journal, the US National Council on Disabilities, Eugene Garfield (the founding Editor of The Scientist), Carress and Steinemann, Ashford and Miller (and the American Society for Public Health) and my other sources. A general discussion of statistics is not really relevant to their work.




David Reeve


Like · Reply · Remove Preview · 1d · Edited

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** Why would you, David, as a private investigator, be so interested to discredit this concern? <https://www.linkedin.com/in/david-reeve-495a0417/>


Like · Reply · 1d · Edited

 **David Reeve** (1) None of your sources are legit. There isn't a single NAS and the NCD publication listed on their websites that has anything to do with environmental sensitivity.


Like · Reply · Message · 1d

 **David Reeve** (2) Despite the numerous "scientific sources" you listed as forming the basis for your "Canadian" statistics, not a single one is from Health Canada, Stats Canada, or any other Canadian Source.


Like · Reply · Message · 1d

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** Gosh you're tiresome. Didn't anyone ever tell you it's foolish to argue ignorance against information? Here's the NCD report https://ages.ca/files/NCD_Housing_Report508.pdf


Like · Reply · 1d · Edited

 **David Reeve** (3) Congratulations. You found my LinkedIn Profile. Yes I am a Private Investigator. I also see you correctly linked my FB profile into your post. Very well done. Not sure what that accomplishes though.


Like · Reply · Message · 1d

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** 2) Canadians, based on Diane Marleau's mistakes, and those of a writer who is friends with Catherine McKenna, are using the stereotypes Marleau's DM, Michelle S Jean, was warned against using by the contemporaneous Deputy Attorney General, George M Thomson, who co-authored a report on sensitivities for the Ontario government in 1985.

Like · Reply · 1d · Edited

 **David Reeve** **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** That's a housing report. It has nothing to do with what you're talking about.


Haha · Reply · Message · 1d

 **David Reeve** **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** As for your response to the lack of Canadian Sources, that's a logical fallacy. You're saying that since no Canadian sources exist, it must be because it is being suppressed. The lack of evidence is proof of existence? 🤔 1


Wow · Reply · Message · 1d

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** David Reeve I'm sorry, David. It truly amazes me that anyone hires you for your information gathering or analytical skills. 😊 Here's a quote from the NCD report you didn't read, but magically knew was irrelevant: "Environmental Sensitivities>About 11 percent of the U.S. population has some level of chemical sensitivity (CS) that is likely to require housing that is free of cabling environmental triggers. Unless housing is universally designed to accommodate different sensitivities, it is better for some with CS to live in segregated housing that ensures control over potential exposures."


Like · Reply · 1d · Edited

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** Here's the NAS reference: National Academy of Sciences, "Workshop on Health Risks from Exposure to Common Indoor Household Products in Allergic or Chemically Diseased Persons", cited by Nicholas A. Ashford and Claudia S. Miller in the introduction to Chemical Exposures: Low Levels and High Stakes (2nd ed., 1998), p. xodj


Like · Reply · 1d

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** Finally, in responding to your points, I'm not sure where you got the mistaken idea that I'm "saying that since no Canadian sources exist, it must be because it is being suppressed." What I said, and you can read it in my first reply to you, was "The issue is protecting them in health care. Specific recommendations were made to do so under health ministers Beatty and Bouchard. These measures were abandoned under Marleau. The people who were supposed to be protected are being injured, or killed, instead."


Like · Reply · 1d · Edited

 **David Reeve** **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** you are cherry picking your data. And you can't compare American health stats to Canada's. We have completely different health care systems and Canada has higher standards for environmental exposure than the USA. It is not appropriate to use American references to support your insane theory without using some correlated Canadian figures. And then you go on about how the government is killing people with environmental sensitivities on purpose? You're a nut


Haha · Reply · Message · 21h

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** Well, I'm a nut in good company. When you have something other than ignorance, bile and abuse to argue with, we can continue.


Like · Reply · 21h

 **David Reeve** **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** I'm the abusive one? For calling you crazy? You're the one taking lowball shots about my job trying to defend your nutty conspiracy theories. You're just backing down because you know you're wrong

Like · Reply · Message · 21h

 **David Reeve** **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** and the private messages you sent me were really creepy. You need mental help.

Love · Reply · Message · 21h

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** You need a more ethical client. Feel free to publish the "creepy" PMs I sent, about Redway, and Beatty, and Bouchard. You are good at slandering, but not good with evidence.

Added, for the record: Here are the three PM's I sent you:

1. Alan Redway was Minister of State for Housing, the figure 3-30% was used (depending on level of resulting disability). The US National Council on Disability uses about 12%. The issue is not whether people have sensitivities. Lots of work has been done to make workplaces accessible. The issue is protecting them in health care. Specific recommendations were made to do so under health ministers Beatty and Bouchard. These measures were abandoned under Marleau. The people who were supposed to be protected are being injured, or killed, instead. If you'd like other verifications of stats, there's lots. The US National Academy of Sciences uses 15%.

and

2. Perhaps you'd be interested in these other misconceptions and stereotypes

<https://ages.ca/stereotypes/>


Stereotypes and Misconceptions – AGES.ca Applying Stereotypes The most popular stereotype (and misconception) has it that environmental sensitivities are new, caused by the modern environment. In fact there are hundreds of years of science, centuries of institutional and clinical experience, generations of people affected. A 1985 Ontario r...

ages.ca finally, and just FYI, I've worked on this issue for forty years, ever since I won a small award for TV journalism from ACTRA. I've had help from medical educators, health planners, medical ethicists, politicians and scientists, including the founding editor of The Scientist.

How are the crystals working for you?

And


3. [https://www.linkedin.com/in/david-reeve-495a0417/...](https://www.linkedin.com/in/david-reeve-495a0417/)



AGES.CA


Stereotypes and...

Like · Reply · Remove Preview · 20h · Edited

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** And here's the one I sent to your colleagues Robin St. Martin and Justin Fern

Is this a kind of bullying you sell to clients? Or is it just an example of the analytical skills of your Director of Investigations? Interesting that licensed PI would be so illogical, and abusive to persons with disabilities.

Like · Reply · 20h

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** Also sent to Msrs St. Martin and Fern: "Your employee, if that's what Mr. Reeve is, is becoming increasingly hysterical and belligerent." I sent a copy of the discussion along with these PMs, and will be asking SolGen if you are of a suitable character to be a PI. Gaslighting abuse survivors suggests not.

Like · Reply · 9h · Edited


 Write a reply... 🗨 📷 📎 🗳

 **Dan Mi'kmaq Hunter Renaud** Another fuck up 🤔 1

Like · Reply · Message · 4d

 **Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities** It's ironic to have to explain the problems caused by stereotyping to a pretty, blue-eyed, blonde, female, Liberal politician, lol!

Like · Reply · 3d

 **Don MacQuarrie Barbie**

Like · Reply · Message · 2d

 Marcel Periard Canadians say no to carbon tax. Vote for Andrew Scheer Blue Wave 2019

Like · Reply · Message · 3d

 Mark P. Oehring In the same league as Wynne! Witch 🤔

Like · Reply · Message · 2d

 Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities In technical terms, how it's played out, it's not so much the individual cabinet ministers as the people in the PCO and PMO. They are covering up a horrific mistake, and they've run out of Wilson-Rayboulds.

Of course, the individual cabinet ministers are the people who are responsible.

Like · Reply · 2d · Edited

 Sue Lyall Wow. Canada really has some ignorant and uninformed people as demonstrated on this Conservative profile. Wake up people!

Like · Reply · Message · 1d

 Advocacy Gateway for Environmental Sensitivities Many of the comments are embarrassing. This was not a partisan issue when NDP and Liberals encouraged a Conservative government to adopt and distribute recommendations to protect people with sensitivities from being killed in the health care system. ... See more

AGES.CA

Submission to the...

Like · Reply · Remove Preview · 1d · Edited

➡ View more replies

 William Watson Climate Barbie Catherine McKenna is an idiot!

Like · Reply · Message · 3d

 Tom Leach FOOL!!

Like · Reply · Message · 2d